

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Resource Management Staff

DCI-IC-78-3949
October 5, 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

VIA: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

25X1A FROM: [REDACTED]
Deputy to the DCI for Resource Management

SUBJECT: DIA Building

1. Action Requested: None. This is background for your discussion with Secretary Brown.

2. Background: As the FY 1980 budget review gets under way, it is apparent that we are no closer to your desired resolution of the issue of the DIA Building than we were last year. In fact, we may be worse off as a result of the recent action by the House Appropriations Committee to approve a reprogramming of planning and design funds (\$2.7M) for the building at Bolling AFB (Attached). This was in response to a request made almost 16 months ago in which D/DCI/IC concurred. In the intervening time, an S&I team investigation was apparently conducted which supported construction of a new building at Bolling, although of somewhat smaller size. Congressman McKay has virtually approved the full project subject to the closure of [REDACTED] and Anacostia 25X1A Naval Station.

3. In the ten months since the Secretary of Defense dropped the building from the FY 1979 budget, we have not made progress toward resolving the question of location for the building. Almost a year has passed without any of the time-consuming actions such as building design (or plans for modification) for Langley, or approvals by agencies such as the National Capitol Park and Planning Commission. There is still an estimated delay of two years associated with completing these actions. By contrast, construction could begin at Bolling as early as 1 October 1979 if Congress funds the project in FY 1980. We would be a long way from matching that with a Langley building even if you and Secretary Brown were completely in agreement.

4. 1982 is a critical year for DIA because of the loss of the Cafritz Building lease then and the deteriorating condition of Arlington Hall Station and Anacostia. A building at Bolling could, if all goes perfectly, be ready at that time. It is doubtful that a building could

Classified by 471176

Exempt from General Declassification

Category: 5B(1), (2), (3), or (4)

Declassified

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SUBJECT: DIA Building

be ready at Langley by then. I recommend you accept the Bolling site and come to agreement with Secretary Brown on whether it should be funded in Defense (Military Construction) and protected in FY 1980, or in the NFIP. Neither MILCON nor the NFIP is going to have much slack available this year. (As I told you, the building is not in the GDIP budget submission.)

5. If you wish to continue the attempt to locate the DIA Building at Langley, a focal point must be established for initiating preliminary actions so that no additional time is lost. Start of planning and other essential steps should not be delayed pending final resolution of the question with Secretary Brown, if the building is going to be available in any reasonable timeframe.

25X1A



Attachment: as stated

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SUBJECT: DIA Building

Distribution: DCI-IC-78-3949 w/attachment

25X1A
1 - Addee (DCI)
1 - DDCI
1 - ER
1 - OLC [REDACTED]
1 - D/DCI/RM
1 - D/DCI/CT
1 - D/CLLO
1 - PAO
1 - PGO
1 - IRO
1 - PBO Chrono
1 - PBO Subject

25X1A DCI/IC/PBO/ [REDACTED] /de/1145/5 Oct 78

DIA BUILDING

Location

- o No progress has been made on question of location of DIA building.
- o Chairman McKay has approved a sixteen-month old reprogramming request to complete the design of the building at Bolling Air Force Base.
- o Conditions placed on approval by Chairman McKay
 - Reduce building by 50,000 sq. ft.
 - Understanding that Arlington Hall and Anacostia will be closed.
- o If funded in FY 1980, the building could be completed at Bolling in 1982 when Cafritz must be given up.
- o No preliminary design, site survey or approvals have been accomplished on Langley site.
- o Doubtful that Langley site could be ready in necessary timeframe.

Funding

- o Funding could be either in NFIP (GDIP) or DoD Military Construction.
- o Little latitude in either program in FY 1980.

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: [REDACTED] 3		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS: <i>No Conflict</i>		
Please review and advise me if there is anything wrong with the attached. ASAP		
I can be reached on the secure phone at the Director's downtown office.		
<i>Sorry, but I didn't get this until you all had left.</i>		
[REDACTED]		
FROM: [REDACTED]		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55
REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(6)

STATINTL

STATINTL